Establishment of Railway Safety Management System Using Systems Engineering Management Plan

H.M. Noh¹ and Y.O. Cho^{2,*}

Received: September 2009 Accepted: February 2010

Abstract: In this paper, the processes which are currently under development in South Korea, concerning railway safety management system are introduced. Railway safety management system in South Korea is briefly presented, making particular attentions to Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP). To make huge national R&D projects successful, systematic management process is essential. In this respect, detailed applying SEMP on railway safety management system of South Korea is discussed including Systems Engineering process and Verification and Validation procedures. Furthermore, a computer-aided systems engineering tool (Cradle) is used in order to make the management process more easily controllable.

Keywords: Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP), Requirements, Railway Safety Management System, Verification and Validation Process

1. Introduction

Safety securing technology is one of the most important factors for ensuring operational safety of high-speed trains. Since Korea dose currently have a weak technological basis for safety evaluation and traceability of the accident causes, there are demands for a definition and procedure development of safety regulation system, organization of safety case system, establishment of detailed safety standard, evaluation technology development for safety, construction of test assessment basis, technology development for a prevention of critical accidents and construction of safety information management system, etc, then the developed technologies need to be incorporated into the overall system, in order to prepare an efficient enforcement basis of the railway law already taken effect.

2. Body

2.1 Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP)

The Systems Engineering Management Plan



Fig. 1. Place of SEMP in system management plans

(SEMP) is the primary, top level technical management document for the integration of all engineering activities within the context of, and as an expansion of, the project plan [1]. A SEMP should be prepared for each project and regularly updated as development progresses.

The SEMP is not necessarily a long document. For some projects, it could be a page long, for others it could be hundreds of pages long. The plan needs to be specific to the needs of that project. It needs to be a "living" document, updated as often as needed as new information becomes available. The most important function of the SEMP is to ensure that all of the many active participants know their responsibilities to one another.

The figure 1 shows us the place of the SEMP in the system management planning.

^{*} Corresponding author. Email: yocho@krri.re.kr

¹ Assistant Researcher, Korea Railroad Research Institute, South Korea.

² Chief Researcher, Korea Railroad Research Institute, South Korea.

2.2 Systems Engineering Process for the National Safety R&D Project

Systems engineering process should begin during the new business development and preproposal phases of a project, and should continue throughout the system life cycle [2]. The process is critical in responding to a request for proposal (RFP). Early application of the systems engineering process ensures that a company's proposal is responsive to all the customer's requirements.

From requirements analysis, functional and physical solutions should be developed. Functional solutions are a set of activities or functions arranged in a specified order that, when activated, achieves a defined requirement. Physical solutions are capable of carrying out processes and the interconnection between components indicates that a directed flow is possible.

Decision making from functional and physical solutions should be considered after effectiveness analysis. Generally, effectiveness analysis includes production engineering analysis, test and verification analysis, deployment and installation analysis, operational analyses, supportability analyses, training analysis, disposal analysis, environmental analysis, life cycle cost analysis [3].

System specification is a guide line document

for developing railroad safety system product. A system requirements document, operational requirement document or draft system specification form the customer will have to be completed or transformed into a system specification to the mutual satisfaction of the customer and contractor. All of the requirements in all of the lower tier product requirements documents should be traceable from and to system specification. All of the requirements in the system specification should be traceable to the customer's need through a logical process of decomposition, allocation, functional and requirements analysis.

2.3. Detailed Verification and Validation Process

Validation and verification are tasks associated with activities within the systems engineering process. Validation ensures that the requirements are consistent and complete with respect to higher level requirements. Verification ensures that the selected solution meets its specified technical requirements and properly integrates with interfacing products.

In verification process, project teams should make verification plan documents referred from requirements, functional and physical architectures, railroad safety system products.



Fig. 2. Tailored SE process for national safety program

Table 1. Verification and validation check lists

Statements Verificati	Grades
What is our systems	
engineering	
project for this process ?	
What are the methods that we	
will	
task for each SE apply ?	
What are the tools we will use	
to	
hod these supportmet ?	
How will we control	
configuration	
development?	
When/conduct we lwil How	
review technical?	
How will we esatblish the need	
for	
trade manage and-studies off?	
Who has authorization for	
technical	
control change?	
ll we manage How wi?	
requrirement	

These verification documents should be approved by SE management teams. The project teams make VRTM documents and the SE teams approve them after investigation. Based on Approved VRTM by the SE teams, project teams perform verification and make verification reports. These reports are reviewed by management teams.

In validation process, firstly architectures derived from requirements should be reviewed by SE teams. After reviewing architectures, consistency and completeness should be validated. Even though our SE management teams are consisted with multidisciplinary experts, expert reviews are sometimes necessary for perfect validation. We made validation check lists as shown table 1.

The SEMP is not necessarily long document. For some project it could be a page long, for others it could be hundreds of pages long. The plan needs to be specific to the needs of that project. It needs to be a 'living' document, updated as often as needed as new information



Fig.3. Detailed verification process



Fig.4. Detailed validation process



Fig.5. Tracibility of SE Architecutre.



Fig.6. Process of SEMP

available. It is often best if the SEMP references existing organizational policies and procedures. There is no need to duplicate what already exists.

The SEMP forms the foundation for all engineering activities during the entire project and is the means for documenting the tailored SE approach to be used for a specific project. The development of the SEMP is a systems engineering management responsibility, but it must reflect the combined, coordinated inputs of the Project Manager and all other participants in the project.

The contents of the SEMP are described in EIA 632 and many include three parts as depicted as follows:

Part 1, Technical Program Planning and Control, describes the proposed process for planning and control of the engineering efforts for the system's design, development, test and evaluation.

Part 2, Systems Engineering Process, includes specific tailoring of the SE process, implementation procedures, trade study

Tradie WorkBench - SSS	S - MANAGER - (84 unread alerts)	e	X
Elle Edit (tem Style Admin □ □ □ □ 	Window Belp Vere Project Reg - Reg Review ↓ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ● ☆ P ← < Table type: RTF Table type: RTF Table type: RTF	Items to publish: All items in this set	~
Master Tree Top Level * Waster Tree Top Level * Wolfench Process Pro	CULLIN: define project requirments PELS Table style: Identity Name Description Identity Name Description Image: Previous Image: Previous Image: Previous Image: Previous	table	_
································	2 PR.1.1.1 SR.1.1 프로젝트는 사업 요구사방법 3 PR.1.1.3 SR.1.3 프로젝트는 사업 요구사방법 4 PR.1.1.4 SR.1.4 프로젝트는 SUMP 등 올라, 조망하다. 5 PR.1.1.14 SR.1.10 프로젝트는 결제할 산용답을 합성하다. 6 PR.1.1.11 SR.1.11 프로젝트는 결제할 산용답을 합성하다. 7 PR.1.1.14 SR.1.16 프로젝트는 결제할 산용답을 합성하다.	Open Item Copy Item Delete Item Set Owner Find Linked Items - All Linked Items - By Navigation	_
※ の 約首左著枝 ※ ② ジオ之弟 ※ ② シネモ市 ※ ② 対音管 ※ ○ ジオ信	PROJECT REQ: PR. 1.1.1 (A) PR. 1.1.1 (A) Type: PROTE Subtype PROJECT REQ Name: SR.1.1 Created 2 Draft: A Version: Security: UNCLASSIFIED W Modifier IX Overse: MAMAGER Baselier: Status: Modified IX	Confirm Herarchy Integrited Confirm Hierarchy Integrity Compare with Baselined Compare Selected Items Submit	
	Text: 표호박트는 사업 요구사람 변경관리를 수행한다.	Ouery Details View Details Annotation Details New Mark New Mark Close Ouery Publish Yablo	
ic and a	Identity: Ascending - Case insensitive	Spell Check 12 M	
1 item selected	Edentity: Ascending - Case sensitive	15 items	

Fig.7. Requirements Inputs

W Cradle	e WorkBench - SSS	5 - MANAGER	- (84 unread alerts))									
	tem Style Admin	<u>Window H</u> elp											لمارك
	O & X & O	Form Verification -	Complete	< B	×	Baseline	<unset></unset>	~	Nevigation	Down	vards		v
8 00 8									9.0	-		an ĝ	1 0
						_		_	~ •			~ 8	
Master Tree	Top Lev	e -											Þ
	Requirements	<u> </u>											
-6	Events												
	DATA BLOCK												
	DEFINITION												
- B	DOC SECTION												
8-B													
B-B													
- D													
	PBS												
B B													
E B													
• <u>0</u>		VERIFICATION	Verif.1.1.1 (A)										Þ
		N Verf.1.1.	(A)										
		-					Last moor	100: 40	intina.				~
		건증 기준:											-
8-6			위험으면 해당해 약할까지		_			Gurren	20402362		_	-	
		2 233 2 23	사항의 경이와 목은 적렬한)	71				(MARY	JIMIDAND				1.00
B	SYSTEM RED	3 요구사항의	시작시험과 환료시험은 적태	2히 선택되었는가									
6-6		1 CMAR 2	2구사항은 RFP를 충실히 반응	101110101									
ĬĬĨ	Previous												
6	-												
	B- VERIFICATION: 1	~ 0 영상											
	- D VERIFICATIO												
	VERIFICATION	X											
	VERIFICATIO	Procedure:											
<													Y
											RW		

Fig.8. Verification and Validation Inputs

III Cradle WorkBonch - SSS	- MANAGER - (84 unread alerts)						
File Edit Item Style Admin Window Heb							
		X Baseline (Unset> M Nevigation Downwards					
8 ~ & B 📽	3	QEB%80~D;					
Master Tree Top Level *							
WorkBench	🖹 VRIM_FR3						
🖲 🔲 Deta Display	Id SR.No SR	A					
Process		명상성과 문 건축 방법 건축거중					
	PR.3.2 SR.2 프로젝트는 선로구조물 안전기준을 작성하여						
8 6 처랐안전							
B-() 시설안전	PR.3.2.1 SR.2.1 프로젝트는 궤도 안전거준을 작성하여이 한다 선로면, 안전구역 등)	안전기란(국내자료와 - 현재의 기술수준으로					
·····································	PR.3.2.2 SR.2.2 프로젝트는 노반 만전기준을 작성하여야 한다 및 랭토), 선로지하용단시설 등)	· (토왕(제양 노반 1.1 - 우리나리 실장에 적합한기 인전기준(국내자료의 - 현재의 기술수준으로					
·····································	PR.3.2.3 SR.2.3 프로젝트는 구조량(화제포함) 인전기준을 약((고경, 터널, 건속인계/진입을제 등)	성하여야 한다. 구조할(화 1.1 · 우리나라 실장에 적합한가 제포함) 국내자료의 · 현재의 기술수준으로					
 ୦. ୬୫୧୯୯ ଉମୟ ୪ ୪ 	PR.3.2.4 SR.2.4 프로젝트는 역시설(함께포함) 안전기준을 작 (승강함, 충압구, 방원시설/대해 등)	생하여야 한다. 역사설(함 1.1 - 유리나라 실장에 작합한가 제포함) 국내자공의 - 현재의 기술수준으로					
8-(:) SW안전	PR.3.3 SR.3 프로젝트는 건널은 안전기준을 작성하여야 한						
응-(in) 비상대용 명-(in) 위험도분석	1	>					
B-C 위험도운역 R-C 위청오르	RIFICATION: Welf.3.2.1 (A)						
8-00 2880	Pi veri 3.2.1 (A)						
8-00 999	Harristan (V)	Last modified: 33/12/08					
B 💼 안전성평가	20 70;						
응-(iii) 차량충동 (B-(iii) 영치재어	· 우리나리 실경에 적합한가	ANNOTATIONS					
응~() 정치세어 응~() 차량당성	· 현재의 기술수준으로 달성가능한가 - 국제규경 및 공내규정에 분합하는가						
RWS CH-B	- 관련기관의 의견을 수렴하였는가						
표 해 명치의제	·전문가 자문이 미루어졌는가. 취정 정류성 검증이 가능하기.						
Database	· 단종 역분은 일본이 가운전가. 입중 방법:						
🖲 📋 Queries	1.1 군대자동안 비교						
🖲 🛄 Admin	1.2 외국자료의 비교 3.1 국제규경 부함						
P Options	3.2 국내규정 부합						
	trocedure:						
< > >	1	N (edited)					
		RW (edted)					

Fig.9. VRTM Checks

methodology, types of models to be used for system and cost effectiveness evaluation, generation of applicable documentation and specifications.

Part 3, Engineering Specialty Integration, describes the integration of technical discipline efforts with a cross reference to the specific plan.

2.4 Computer-Aided Systems Engineering

Computer-Aided Systems Engineering Tool



Fig.10. Project evaluation

(Cradle) is used to manage various programs more practical. Cradle is a beneficial and powerful for modification of requirements, verification assessment and validation management.

Outputs of this program are requirement table, VRTM (Verification Requirement Traceability Matrix), SEMP (System Engineering Management Plan).

3. Conclusion

Establishment of processes of railway safety management system in South Korea was attempted in this research. These processes were introduced with particular attention to Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP). For this purpose, railway safety management system in South Korea was briefly discussed and systematic management process was considered that ensures the success of national R&D projects. In this respect, detailed applying SEMP on railway safety management system of South Korea was discussed including Systems Engineering process and Verification and Validation procedure. In order to make the management process more easily controllable, a computer-aided systems engineering tool (Cradle) was used.

References

- Alexander Kossiakoff, William N.Sweet, "Systems Engineering Principle and Practice", pp31-33, Wiley-Interscience, 2003.
- [2] James, N., Martin, "Systems Engineering Guidebook", CRC press, pp21-22,1997.
- [3] Jeffrey O.Grandy, "System Integration", pp171-174, CRC press.