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- Summary of Measurement Methods
- Summary of Modal Analysis Processes
- Review of Test Procedures and Levels
Overview of Modal Testing

- Experimental Structural Dynamics
  - To understand and to control the many vibration phenomenon in practice
    - Structural integrity (Turbine blades- Suspension Bridges)
    - Performance (malfunction, disturbance, discomfort)
Introduction to Modal Testing (continued)

- Necessities for experimental observations
  - Nature and extent of vibration in operation
  - Verifying theoretical models
  - Material properties under dynamic loading (damping capacity, friction,...)
Test types corresponding to objectives:

- Operational Force/Response measurements
  - Response measurement of PZL Mielec Skytruck Mode Shapes (3.17 Hz, 1.62 %), (8.39 Hz, 1.93 %)
Introduction to Modal Testing (continued)

- Modal Testing in a controlled environment/
  Resonance Testing/
  Mechanical Impedance Method
  - Testing a component or a structure with the objective of obtaining mathematical model of dynamical/vibration behavior
  - Structural Analysis of ULTRA Mirror
Introduction to Modal Testing (continued)

Milestones in the development:

- Kennedy and Pancu (1947)
  - Natural frequencies and damping of aircrafts
- Bishop and Gladwell (1962)
  - Theory of resonance testing
- ISMA (bi-annual since 1975)
- IMAC (annual since 1982)
Applications of Modal Testing

- Model Validation/Correlation:
  - Producing major test modes validates the model
    - Natural frequencies
    - Mode shapes
    - Damping information are not available in FE models
Applications of Modal Testing (continued)

- Model Updating
  - Correlation of experimental/analytical model
  - Adjust/correct the analytical model
  - Optimization procedures are used for updating.
Applications of Modal Testing (continued)

- Component Model Identification
  - Substructure process
  - The component model is incorporated into the structural assembly
Applications of Modal Testing (continued)

- **Force Determination**
  - Knowledge of dynamic force is required
  - Direct force measurement is not possible
  - Measurement of response + Analytical Model results the external force

\[
\left( [K] - \omega^2 [M] \right) \{x\} = \{f\}
\]
Philosophy of Modal Testing

- Integration of three components:
  - Theory of vibration
  - Accurate vibration measurement
  - Realistic and detailed data analysis

- Examples:
  - Quality and suitability of data for process
  - Excitation type
  - Understanding of forms and trends of plots
  - Choice of curve fitting
  - Averaging
Summary of Theory (SDOF)
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Summary of Theory (MDOF)
Summary of Theory

Definition of FRF:

\[ H(\omega) = \left( [K] - \omega^2 [M] + i[D] \right)^{-1} \]

\[ h_{jk}(\omega) = \frac{x_j(\omega)}{f_k(\omega)} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\phi_{jr} \phi_{kr}}{\omega_r^2 - \omega^2}. \]

Curve-fitting the measured FRF:

- Modal Model is obtained
- Spatial Model is obtained
Summary of Measurement Methods

- Basic measurement system:
  - Single point excitation
Summary of Modal Analysis Processes

- Analysis of measured FRF data
  - Appropriate type of model (SDOF, MDOF, …)
  - Appropriate parameters for chosen model
Review of Test Procedures and Levels

- The procedure consists of:
  - FRF measurement
  - Curve-Fitting
  - Construct the required model

- Different level of details and accuracy in above procedure is required depending on the application.
## Overview of Test Procedures and Levels

- **Levels according to Dynamic Testing Agency:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Natural Freq</th>
<th>Damping ratio</th>
<th>Mode Shapes</th>
<th>Usable for validation</th>
<th>Out of range residues</th>
<th>Updating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Only in few points</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Text Books

Evaluation Scheme

- Home Works (20%)
- Mid-term Exam (20%)
- Course Project (30%)
- Final Exam (30%)
Modal Testing
(Lecture 10)

Dr. Hamid Ahmadian
School of Mechanical Engineering
Iran University of Science and Technology
ahmadian@iust.ac.ir
Theoretical Basis

- Analysis of weakly nonlinear structures
- Approximate analysis of nonlinear structures
- Cubic stiffness nonlinearity
- Coulomb friction nonlinearity
- Other nonlinearities and other descriptions
Analysis of weakly nonlinear structures

- The whole bases of **modal testing** assumes linearity:
  - Response linearly related to the excitation
  - Response to simultaneous application of several forces can be obtained by superposition of responses to individual forces

- An introduction to characteristics of weakly nonlinear systems is given to detect if any nonlinearity is involved during modal test.
Cubic stiffness nonlinearity

\[ m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx + k_3 x^3 = F \sin(\omega t - \phi) \]

\[ \Rightarrow x(t) = X \sin(\omega t) \]

\[ \Rightarrow -m\omega^2 X \sin(\omega t) + c \omega X \cos(\omega t) + kX \sin(\omega t) + k_3 X^3 \sin^3(\omega t) = F \sin(\omega t - \phi) \]

\[ \Rightarrow -m\omega^2 X \sin(\omega t) + c \omega X \cos(\omega t) + kX \sin(\omega t) + k_3 X^3 \left( \frac{3}{4} \sin(\omega t) - \frac{1}{4} \sin(3\omega t) \right) = F \sin(\omega t - \phi) \]
Cubic stiffness nonlinearity

\[- m \omega^2 X \sin(\omega t) + c \omega X \cos(\omega t) + kX \sin(\omega t) + k_3 X^3 \left( \frac{3}{4} \sin(\omega t) - \frac{1}{4} \sin(3\omega t) \right) = F \sin(\omega t) \cos(\phi) - F \cos(\omega t) \sin(\phi) \]

\[\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 
- m \omega^2 X + kX + \frac{3}{4} k_3 X^3 = F \cos(\phi) \\
\phantom{- m \omega^2 X + kX} + c \omega X = - F \sin(\phi)
\end{cases}\]
Cubic stiffness nonlinearity

\[ \left| \frac{X}{F} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( -m\omega^2 + k + \frac{3}{4} k_3 X^2 \right)^2 + (c\omega)^2}} \]

\[ k_{eq} = k + \frac{3}{4} k_3 X^2 \]
Cubic stiffness nonlinearity

Softening effect

Hardening effect
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Softening-stiffness effect
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Softening-stiffness effect

FC1
FC2
FBH
IMC
CCOC
TC
Shaker
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Softening-stiffness effect
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Softening-stiffness effect
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Coulomb friction nonlinearity

\[ f_d(t) = c \dot{x}(t) + c_F \frac{\ddot{x}(t)}{|\ddot{x}(t)|} \]

\[ \Delta E = 4c_F X \Rightarrow c_{eq} = \frac{\Delta E}{\int_0^{2\pi/\omega} \dot{x}(t)^2 \, dt} = \frac{4c_F}{\pi \omega X} \]

\[ X = \frac{1}{F} \left( k - m\omega^2 + i \left( c\omega + \frac{4c_F}{\pi \omega X} \right) \right) \]
\[
\frac{X}{F} = \frac{1}{k - m \omega^2 + i \left( c \omega + \frac{4c_F}{\pi \omega X} \right)}
\]

Coulomb friction nonlinearity
Other nonlinearities and other descriptions

- Backlash
- Bilinear Stiffness
- Microslip friction damping
- Quadratic (and other power law damping)
- .....
MODAL ANALYSIS THEORY

- Understanding of how the structural parameters of mass, damping, and stiffness relate to
  - the impulse response function (time domain),
  - the frequency response function (Fourier, or frequency domain), and
  - the transfer function (Laplace domain)

- for single and multiple degree of freedom systems.
Theoretical Basis

- SDOF system
  - Time Domain: Impulse Response Function
  - Presentation of FRF
  - Properties of FRF
- Undamped MDOF system
- MDOF system with proportional damping
SDOF System

- Three classes of system:
  - Undamped
  - Viscously-damped
  - Structurally Damped
- Response Models:

\[
H(\omega) = \frac{X(\omega)}{F(\omega)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{k - m\omega^2} \\
\frac{1}{k - m\omega^2 + ic\omega} \\
\frac{1}{k - m\omega^2 + id}
\end{array} \right. 
\]
Time Domain: Impulse Response Function

\[ \lambda_1, \lambda_1^* = -\zeta \Omega_1 \pm j \Omega_1 \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} \]

\[ h(t) = e^{\sigma_1 t} \left[ A e^{(j \omega_1 t)} + A^* e^{(-j \omega_1 t)} \right] \]
Frequency Domain: Frequency Response Function

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-M \omega^2 + j C \omega + K
\end{bmatrix} X(\omega) = F(\omega)
\]

\[
H(\omega) = \frac{1}{-M \omega^2 + j C \omega + K} = \frac{1/M}{-\omega^2 + j \left( \frac{C}{M} \right) \omega + \left( \frac{K}{M} \right)}
\]

\[
H(\omega) = \frac{1/M}{(j \omega - \lambda_1) (j \omega - \lambda_1^*)} = \frac{A}{(j \omega - \lambda_1)} + \frac{A^*}{(j \omega - \lambda_1^*)}
\]
Alternative Forms of FRF

- **Receptance**
  - Inverse is “Dynamic Stiffness”
  \[
  \frac{X(\omega)}{F(\omega)}
  \]

- **Mobility**
  - Inverse is “Dynamic Impedance”
  \[
  \frac{V(\omega)}{F(\omega)} = i\omega \frac{X(\omega)}{F(\omega)}
  \]

- **Inertance**
  - Inverse is “Apparent mass”
  \[
  \frac{A(\omega)}{F(\omega)} = -\omega^2 \frac{X(\omega)}{F(\omega)}
  \]
Graphical Display of FRF
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The magnitude of the three mobility functions
\textit{(accelerance, mobility and compliance)}
Stiffness and Mass Lines
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Reciprocal Plots

- The “inverse” or “reciprocal” plots
  - Real part
  - Imaginary part

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Re}(\frac{F(\omega)}{X(\omega)}) &= k - m \omega^2 \\
\text{Im}(\frac{F(\omega)}{X(\omega)}) &= c \omega
\end{align*}
\]
Nyquist Plot

- For viscous damping the Mobility plot is a circle.

- For structural damping the Receptance and Inertance plots are circles.
3D FRF Plot (SDOF)

Theoretical Basis
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Properties of SDOF FRF Plots

- **Nyquist Mobility for viscose damping**

\[
Y(\omega) = \frac{i \omega}{k - m \omega^2 + ic \omega}
\]

\[
\text{Re}(Y) = \frac{c \omega^2}{(k - m \omega^2)^2 + (c \omega)^2}, \quad \text{Im}(Y) = \frac{\omega(k - m \omega^2)}{(k - m \omega^2)^2 + (c \omega)^2}
\]

\[
U = \left(\text{Re}(Y) - \frac{1}{2c}\right), \quad V = \text{Im}(Y)
\]

\[
U^2 + V^2 = \frac{(k - m \omega^2)^2 + (c \omega)^2}{4c^2((k - m \omega^2)^2 + (c \omega)^2)^2} = \left(\frac{1}{2c}\right)^2
\]
Properties of SDOF FRF Plots

- Nyquist Receptance for structural damping

\[ H(\omega) = \frac{1}{k + id - m\omega^2} = \frac{(k - m\omega^2) - id}{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + d^2} \]

\[ U = \frac{(k - m\omega^2)}{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + d^2}, \quad V = \frac{d}{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + d^2} \]

\[ U^2 + \left( V + \frac{1}{2d} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2d} \right)^2 \]
Basic Assumptions

- The structure is assumed to be linear
- The structure is time invariant
- The structure obeys Maxwell’s reciprocity
- The structure is observable
  - loose components, or degrees-of-freedom of motion that are not measured, are not completely observable.
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Theoretical Basis

- Undamped MDOF Systems
- MDOF Systems with Proportional Damping
- MDOF Systems with General Structural Damping
- General Force Vector
- Undamped Normal Mode
Undamped MDOF Systems

- The equation of motion:
  \[ [M]\ddot{x}(t) + [K]x(t) = \{f(t)\} \]

- The modal model:
  \[ [\Phi], \Gamma = \text{diag}(\omega_1^2, \omega_2^2, \ldots, \omega_N^2) \]

- The orthogonality:

- Forced response solution:
  \[
  (\left[ K \right] - \omega^2 \left[ M \right]) \{X\} e^{i\omega t} = \{F\} e^{i\omega t} \\
  \{X\} = \left(\left[ K \right] - \omega^2 \left[ M \right]\right)^{-1} \{F\} \Rightarrow \{X\} = [\alpha(\omega)]\{F\} 
  \]
Undamped MDOF Systems
(continued)

- Response Model

\[
\left( \begin{bmatrix} K \end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \right) = \left( \alpha(\omega) \right)^{-1} \\
\left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \left( \begin{bmatrix} K \end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \left( \alpha(\omega) \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
\left( \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \left( \alpha(\omega) \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
\left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\
\left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} \right)^T
\]
Undamped MDOF Systems

The theoretical basis for undamped multiple-degree-of-freedom (MDOF) systems.

- The receptance matrix is symmetric.

\[
\alpha_{jk} = \frac{X_j}{F_k} = \alpha_{kj} = \frac{X_k}{F_j},
\]

\[
\alpha_{jk}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\phi_{jr} \phi_{kr}}{\omega_r^2 - \omega^2} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{r A_{jk}}{\omega_r^2 - \omega^2}
\]

Single Input

Modal Constant/Modal Residue
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Example:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{kg} \quad \begin{bmatrix}
1.2 & -0.8 \\
-0.8 & 1.2
\end{bmatrix} \text{MN/m}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4e5 \\
2e6
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\alpha_{11}(\omega) = \frac{0.5}{4e5 - \omega^2} + \frac{0.5}{2e6 - \omega^2} = \frac{1.2e6 - \omega^2}{8e11 - 2.4e6\omega^2 + \omega^4}.
\]
Example (continued):

\[ \alpha_{11}(\omega) = \frac{0.5}{4e5 - \omega^2} + \frac{0.05}{2e6 - \omega^2} = \frac{1.2e6 - \omega^2}{8e11 - 2.4e6\omega^2 + \omega^4}. \]
Example (continued):

\[ \alpha_{12}(\omega) = \frac{0.5}{4e5 - \omega^2} - \frac{0.05}{2e6 - \omega^2} = \frac{8e4}{8e11 - 2.4e6\omega^2 + \omega^4}. \]
MDOF Systems with Proportional Damping

- A proportionally damped matrix is diagonalized by normal modes of the corresponding undamped system

\[
[\Phi]^T [D][\Phi] = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_N)
\]

- Special cases:

\[
[D] = \beta[K], \\
[D] = \delta[M], \\
[D] = \beta[K] + \delta[M].
\]
MDOF Systems with Structurally Proportional Damping

**Response Model**

\[
\left( [K] + i[D] - \omega^2 [M] \right) = [\alpha(\omega)]^{-1}
\]

\[
[\Phi]^T \left( [K] + i[D] - \omega^2 [M] \right) [\Phi] = [\Phi]^T [\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} [\Phi]
\]

\[
\left( \omega_r^2 (1 + i \eta_r^2) - \omega^2 [I] \right) = [\Phi]^T [\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} [\Phi]
\]

\[
[\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} = [\Phi]^T \left( \omega_r^2 (1 + i \eta_r^2) - \omega^2 [I] \right) [\Phi]^{-1}
\]

\[
[\alpha(\omega)] = [\Phi] \left( \omega_r^2 (1 + i \eta_r^2) - \omega^2 [I] \right)^{-1} [\Phi]^T
\]

\[
\alpha_{jk}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\phi_{jr} \phi_{kr}}{\omega_r^2 (1 + i \eta_r^2) - \omega^2}
\]

Theoretical Basis
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MDOF Systems with Viscously Proportional Damping

- **Response Model**

\[
\begin{align*}
([K] + i\omega [C] - \omega^2 [M]) &= [\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} \\
([\omega_r^2] + i\omega[2\zeta_r \omega_r] - \omega^2 [I]) &= [\Phi]^T[\alpha(\omega)]^{-1}[\Phi] \\
[\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} &= [\Phi]^T([\omega_r^2] + i\omega[2\zeta_r \omega_r] - \omega^2 [I])[\Phi]^{-1} \\
[\alpha(\omega)] &= [\Phi]([\omega_r^2] + i\omega[2\zeta_r \omega_r] - \omega^2 [I])^{-1}[\Phi]^T \\
\alpha_{jk}(\omega) &= \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\phi_{jr} \phi_{kr}}{\omega_r^2 - \omega^2 + 2\zeta_r \omega_r \omega}
\end{align*}
\]
MDOF Systems with General Structural Damping

- The equation of motion:
  \[
  [M]\ddot{x}(t) + ([K] + i[D])x(t) = \{f(t)\}
  \]

- The orthogonality:
  \[
  \Phi^T[M]\Phi = I, \Phi^T[K + iD]\Phi = \Gamma.
  \]

- Forced response solution:
  \[
  ([K] + i[D] - \omega^2[M])Xe^{i\omega t} = \{F\}e^{i\omega t}
  \]
  \[
  \{X\} = ([K] + i[D] - \omega^2[M])^{-1}\{F\} \Rightarrow \{X\} = [\alpha(\omega)]\{F\}
  \]

Theoretical Basis
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Example:

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{l}
-1 \\
\Phi \\
= \\
\end{array} \right] = \Gamma
\]

Model 1

\[m_1 = 0.5 \text{kg}, m_2 = 1 \text{kg}, m_3 = 1.5 \text{kg}\]

\[k_j = 1e3 \text{ N/m}, j = 1, \ldots, 6\]

Undamped

\[
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
950 \\
3352 \\
6698
\end{bmatrix}, \Phi = \begin{bmatrix}
0.464 & -0.218 & -1.318 \\
0.536 & -0.782 & 0.318 \\
0.635 & 0.493 & 0.142
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Example:

Proportional

\[
[D] = 0.05[K] = \begin{bmatrix}
950 & 3352 \\
3352 & 6698 \\
6698 & 3181
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi \\
\Gamma
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.464 & -0.218 & -1.318 \\
0.536 & -0.782 & 0.318 \\
0.635 & 0.493 & 0.142
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Non-Proportional

\[
d_1 = 0.3k, d_j = 0.0, j = 2, \ldots, 6
\]

\[
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
957(1 + i0.067) \\
3354(1 + i0.042) \\
6690(1 + i0.078)
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.463(-5.5^\circ) & 0.217(173^\circ) & 1.318(181^\circ) \\
0.537(0.0^\circ) & 0.784(181^\circ) & 0.318(-6.7^\circ) \\
0.636(1.0^\circ) & 0.492(-1.3^\circ) & 0.142(-3.1^\circ)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Almost real modes

Theoretical Basis
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Example:

Model 2

\[ m_1 = 1 \text{kg}, m_2 = 0.95 \text{kg}, m_3 = 1.05 \text{kg} \]

\[ k_j = 1 \times 10^3 \text{N/m}, j = 1, \ldots, 6 \]

Undamped

\[
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 999 \\ 3892 \\ 4124 \end{bmatrix}, [\Phi] = \begin{bmatrix} 0.577 & -0.602 & 0.552 \\ 0.567 & -0.215 & -0.827 \\ 0.587 & 0.752 & 0.207 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Proportional

\[ [D] = 0.05[K], \]

\[
\Gamma = (1 + i0.05) \begin{bmatrix} 999 \\ 3892 \\ 4124 \end{bmatrix}, [\Phi] = \begin{bmatrix} 0.577 & -0.602 & 0.552 \\ 0.567 & -0.215 & -0.827 \\ 0.587 & 0.752 & 0.207 \end{bmatrix}
\]
Example:

Non-Proportional

\[ d_1 = 0.3k_1, d_j = 0.0, j = 2,\ldots, 6 \]

\[
\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
1006(1 + i0.1) \\
3942(1 + i0.031) \\
4067(1 + i0.019)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
[\Phi] = \begin{bmatrix}
0.578(-4^\circ) & 0.851(162^\circ) & 0.685(40^\circ) \\
0.569(2^\circ) & 0.570(101^\circ) & 1.019(176^\circ) \\
0.588(2^\circ) & 0.848(12^\circ) & 0.560(-50^\circ)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Heavily complex modes
MDOF Systems with General Structural Damping

\[
\begin{align*}
([K] + i[D] - \omega^2[M]) &= [\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} \\
[\omega_r^2(1 + i\eta_r^2) - \omega^2[I]] &= [\Phi]^T[\alpha(\omega)]^{-1}[\Phi] \\
[\alpha(\omega)]^{-1} &= [\Phi]^{-T}[\omega_r^2(1 + i\eta_r^2) - \omega^2[I]][\Phi]^{-1} \\
[\alpha(\omega)] &= [\Phi][\omega_r^2(1 + i\eta_r^2) - \omega^2[I]]^{-1}[\Phi]^T
\end{align*}
\]

\[\alpha_{jk}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\phi_{jr}\phi_{kr}}{\omega_r^2(1 + i\eta_r^2) - \omega^2}\]

Complex Residues

Complex Poles
General Force Vector

- In many situations the system is excited at several points.
General Force Vector (continued)

- The response is governed by:
  \[
  \left( [K + iD] - \omega^2 [M] \right) \{X\} e^{i\omega t} = \{F\} e^{i\omega t}
  \]

- The solution:
  \[
  \{X\} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\{\phi\}_r^T \{F\}\{\phi\}_r}{\omega^2_r (1 + i \eta^2_r) - \omega^2}
  \]

- All forces have the same frequency but may vary in magnitude and phase.
General Force Vector (continued)

- The response vector is referred to:
  - Forced Vibration Mode
  - or Operating Deflection Shape (ODS)
- When the excitation frequency is close to the natural frequency:
  - ODS reflects the shape of nearby mode
  - But not identical due to contributions of other modes.
Damped system normal mode:

- By carefully tuning the force vector the response can be controlled by a single mode.
- The is attained if \( \{\phi\}_r^T \{F\}_s = \delta_{rs} \)
- Depending upon damping condition the force vector entries may well be complex (they have different phases)
Undamped Normal Mode

- Special Case of interest:
  - Harmonic excitation of mono-phased forces
    - Same frequency
    - Same phase
    - Magnitudes may vary

- Is it possible to obtain mono-phased response?
Undamped Normal Mode

(continued)

- The real force response amplitudes:

\[
\{f(t)\} = \left\{\hat{F}\right\} e^{i\omega t}
\]

\[
\{x(t)\} = \left\{\hat{X}\right\} e^{i(\omega t - \theta)}
\]

\[
\left(\left[K - \omega^2 [M]\right] - \omega^2 [M]\right) \{\hat{X}\} e^{i\omega t} = \{\hat{F}\} e^{i\omega t}
\]

- Real and imaginary parts:

\[
\left(\left[K - \omega^2 [M]\right]\cos \theta + [D]\sin \theta\right) \{\hat{X}\} = \{\hat{F}\}
\]

\[
\left(\left[K - \omega^2 [M]\right]\sin \theta + [D]\cos \theta\right) \{\hat{X}\} = \{0\}
\]

- The 2nd equation is an eigen-value problem; its solutions leads to real \{\hat{F}\}.
Undamped Normal Mode

(continued)

- At a frequency that the phase lag between all forces and all responses is 90 degree then

\[
\left(\left[K - \omega^2[M]\right]\sin \theta + [D]\cos \theta\right)\{\hat{X}\} = \{0\}
\]

- Results
  - Undamped normal modes
  - Natural frequencies of undamped system
Undamped Normal Mode
(continued)

- The base for multi-shaker test procedures.
- Modal Analysis of Large Structures: Multiple Exciter Systems By: M. Phil. K. Zaveri
Modal Testing
(Lecture 4)
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Theoretical Basis

- General Force Vector
- Undamped Normal Mode
- MDOF System with General Viscous Damping
- Force Response Solution/ General Viscous Damping
In many situations the system is excited at several points.
General Force Vector

- Otherwise you end up damaging the structure!!!!
The response is governed by:

$$\left( [K + iD] - \omega^2 [M] \right) \{X\} e^{i\omega t} = \{F\} e^{i\omega t}$$

All forces have the same frequency but may vary in magnitude and phase.

The solution:

$$\{X\} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\{\phi\}^T_r \{F\} \{\phi\}_r}{\omega_r^2 (1 + i \eta_r^2) - \omega^2}$$
Theoretical Basis

General Force Vector (continued)

- The response vector is referred to:
  - Forced Vibration Mode
  - or Operating Deflection Shape (ODS)

- When the excitation frequency is close to the natural frequency:
  - ODS reflects the shape of nearby mode
  - But not identical due to contributions of other modes.
Damped system normal mode:

- By carefully tuning the force vector the response can be controlled by a single mode.
- This is attained if \( \{\phi\}_r^T \{F\}_s = \delta_{rs} \)
- Depending upon damping condition the force vector entries may well be complex (they have different phases)
Undamped Normal Mode

- Special Case of interest:
  - Harmonic excitation of mono-phased forces
    - Same frequency
    - Same phase
    - Magnitudes may vary

- Is it possible to obtain mono-phased response?
Undamped Normal Mode

512 channel
37 Shakers

Theoretical Basis
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Undamped Normal Mode

(continued)

- The real force response amplitudes:
  \[ \{f(t)\} = \{\hat{F}\} e^{i\omega t} \]
  \[ \{x(t)\} = \{\hat{X}\} e^{i(\omega t - \theta)} \]
  \[ ([K + iD] - \omega^2 [M])\{\hat{X}\} e^{i(\omega t - \theta)} = \{\hat{F}\} e^{i\omega t} \]

- Real and imaginary parts:
  \[ ([K] - \omega^2 [M])\cos \theta + [D]\sin \theta\{\hat{X}\} = \{\hat{F}\} \]
  \[ ([K] - \omega^2 [M])\sin \theta + [D]\cos \theta\{\hat{X}\} = \{0\} \]

- The 2nd equation is an eigen-value problem; its solutions leads to real \{\hat{F}\}
Undamped Normal Mode
(continued)

- At a frequency that the phase lag between all forces and all responses is 90 degree then

\[
([K] - \omega^2 [M]) \sin \theta + [D] \cos \theta \{\hat{X}\} = \{0\}
\]

- Results \(\Rightarrow ([K] - \omega^2 [M]) \{\hat{X}\} = \{0\}\)
  - Undamped normal modes
  - Natural frequencies of undamped system
Undamped Normal Mode
(continued)

- The base for multi-shaker test procedures.
- Modal Analysis of Large Structures: Multiple Exciter Systems By: M. Phil. K. Zaveri
MDOF System with General Viscous Damping

\[ E.O.M. \Rightarrow [M][\ddot{x}] + [C][\dot{x}] + [K][x] = \{f\} \]
\[ \{f(t)\} = \{F\}e^{i\omega t} \Rightarrow \{x(t)\} = \{X\}e^{i\omega t} \]
\[ \{X\} = \left( [K] - \omega^2 [M] + i\omega [C] \right)^{-1} \{F\} \]

- Next the orthogonality properties of the system in 2N space is used for force response solution.
Force Response Solution

\[ EOM \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C & M \\ M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{x} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & -M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \text{Free Vib.} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C & M \\ M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \{\ddot{u}\} + \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & -M \end{bmatrix} \{u\} = \{0\} \]

\[ \text{Eigen-solution} \Rightarrow \left( s_r \begin{bmatrix} C & M \\ M & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & -M \end{bmatrix} \right) \{u_r\} = \{0\} \]

\[ \Rightarrow U^T \begin{bmatrix} C & M \\ M & 0 \end{bmatrix} U = I, U^T \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & -M \end{bmatrix} U = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{2N}). \]
The above simplification is due to the fact that eigen-values and eigen-vectors occur in complex conjugate pairs.
Force Response Solution

- Single point excitation:

\[
\alpha_{jk}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{u_{jr}u_{kr}}{i\omega - s_r} + \frac{u_{jr}u_{kr}^*}{i\omega - s_r^*}
\]
Modal Testing
(Lecture 5)
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Modal Analysis of Rotating Structures

- Non-symmetry in system matrices
- Modes of undamped rotating system
  - Symmetric Stator
  - Non-Symmetric Stator
- FRF’s of rotating system
- Out-of-balance excitation
  - Synchronous excitation
  - Non-Synchronous excitation
Non-symmetry in System Matrices

- The rotating structures are subject to additional forces:
  - Gyroscopic forces
  - Rotor-stator rub forces
  - Electrodynamic forces
  - Unsteady aerodynamic forces
  - Time varying fluid forces

- These forces can destroy the symmetry of the system matrices.
Non-rotating system properties

- A rigid disc mounted on the free end of a rigid shaft of length \( L \),
- The other end of is effectively pin-jointed.

\[
\frac{I_0}{L} \ddot{x} + k_x L \ x = 0
\]

\[
\frac{I_0}{L} \ddot{y} + k_y L \ y = 0
\]
Modes of Undamped Rotating System

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_0/L & 0 \\
0 & I_0/L
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\ddot{y}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
0 & J\Omega_z/L \\
-J\Omega_z/L & 0
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
k_xL & 0 \\
0 & k_yL
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Symmetric stator

\[ k_x = k_y = k, \quad \text{Support is symmetric} \]

\[ x = Xe^{i\omega t}, \quad \text{Simple harmonic motion} \]
\[ y = Ye^{i\omega t}, \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(k - \omega^2 I_0/L^2) & (i\omega J\Omega_z/L^2) \\
(-i\omega J\Omega_z/L^2) & (k - \omega^2 I_0/L^2)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[ \omega^4 - \left(2 \frac{kL^2}{I_0} + \left( \frac{J\Omega_z}{I_0} \right)^2 \right) \omega^2 + \left( \frac{kL^2}{I_0} \right)^2 = 0. \]
Theoretical Basis

\[ \omega^4 - \left( 2 \frac{kL^2}{I_0} + \left( \frac{J\Omega_z}{I_0} \right)^2 \right) \omega^2 + \left( \frac{kL^2}{I_0} \right)^2 = 0. \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1,2}^2 &= \omega_0^2 + \frac{\gamma^2 \Omega_z^2}{2} \pm \gamma \Omega \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \frac{\gamma^2 \Omega_z^2}{4}} \\
\omega_0^2 &= \frac{kL^2}{I_0}, \quad \gamma = \frac{J}{I_0}
\end{align*}
\]

Natural Frequencies
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Mode Shapes

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  \left(k - \omega_1^2 I_0 / L^2\right) & \left(i \omega_1 J \Omega_z / L^2\right) \\
  (-i \omega_1 J \Omega_z / L^2) & \left(k - \omega_1^2 I_0 / L^2\right)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 \\
  i
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  0 \\
  0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  \left(k - \omega_2^2 I_0 / L^2\right) & \left(i \omega_2 J \Omega_z / L^2\right) \\
  (-i \omega_2 J \Omega_z / L^2) & \left(k - \omega_2^2 I_0 / L^2\right)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  i \\
  1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  0 \\
  0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

\[
\{\phi_1\} = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 \\
  i
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\{\phi_2\} = \begin{bmatrix}
  i \\
  1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Non-symmetric Stator

\[ k_x \neq k_y \]

\[
\{ \phi_1 \} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \\
\{ \phi_2 \} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}
\]
FRF of the Rotating Structure

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_0 / L^2 & 0 \\
0 & I_0 / L^2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\ddot{y}
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
c & J\Omega_z / L^2 \\
-J\Omega_z / L^2 & c
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y}
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
k & 0 \\
0 & k
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
f_x \\
f_y
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(\alpha(\omega)\) is the FRF matrix.

\[
[\alpha(\omega)] =
\begin{bmatrix}
(k - \omega^2 I_0 / L^2 + ic\omega) & (i\omega J\Omega_z / L^2) \\
-(i\omega J\Omega_z / L^2) & (k - \omega^2 I_0 / L^2 + ic\omega)
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{xx}(\omega) &= \alpha_{yy}(\omega) \\
\alpha_{xy}(\omega) &= -\alpha_{yx}(\omega)
\end{align*}
\]

External Damping

Loss of Reciprocity

Coupling Effect

Theoretical Basis
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FRF of the Rotating Structure with External Damping

Complex Mode Shapes due to significant imaginary part

Theoretical Basis
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Out-of-balance excitation

- Response analysis for the particular case of excitation provided by out-of-balance forces is investigated:
  - When the force results from an out-of-balance mass on the rotor, it is of a synchronous nature
  - When the force results from an out-of-balance mass on a co/counter rotating shaft, it is of a non-synchronous nature
Synchronous OOB Excitation

\[ \{F\} = mr\Omega^2 \begin{cases} \cos(\Omega t) \\ \sin(\Omega t) \end{cases} = F_{OOB} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} e^{i\Omega t} \]

**Symmetric – Stator:**

\[ \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} e^{i\Omega t} = F_{OOB} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -iA \end{bmatrix} e^{i\Omega t} \]

\[ A = \frac{L^2}{I_0 \left( \omega_0^2 - \Omega^2 (1 - \gamma) \right)} \]
Synchronous OOB Excitation

Theoretical Basis
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Non-Synchronous OOB Excitation

- Force is generated by another rotor at different speed

$$Excitation \Rightarrow \beta \Omega$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} e^{i\beta \Omega t} = F_{OOB} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -iA \end{bmatrix} e^{i\beta \Omega t}$$

$$A = \frac{L^2}{I_0 \left( \omega_0^2 - \beta \Omega^2 (\beta - \gamma) \right)}$$

- The essential results are the same as for synchronous case.
Modal Testing
(Lecture 6)

Dr. Hamid Ahmadian
School of Mechanical Engineering
Iran University of Science and Technology
ahmadian@iust.ac.ir
Theoretical Basis

- Analysis using rotating frame
- Damping in rotating and stationary frames
- Dynamic analysis of general rotor-stator systems
  - Linear Time Invariant Rotor-Stator Systems
  - LTI Rotor-Stator Viscous Damp System
  - LTI Systems Eigen-Properties
Analysis using rotating frame

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & -\sin(\Omega t) \\ \sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_r \\ y_r \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix} x_r \\ y_r \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \\ -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
Analysis using rotating frame

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
    x_r \\
y_r
\end{cases}
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \\
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases} = [T_1] \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases}, \\
\begin{cases}
    \dot{x}_r \\
    \dot{y}_r
\end{cases}
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \\
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    \dot{x} \\
    \dot{y}
\end{cases} + \Omega \begin{bmatrix}
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \\
    -\cos(\Omega t) & -\sin(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases} = [T_1] \begin{cases}
    \dot{x} \\
    \dot{y}
\end{cases} + \Omega [T_2] \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases}, \\
\begin{cases}
    \ddot{x}_r \\
    \ddot{y}_r
\end{cases}
&= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \\
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    \ddot{x} \\
    \ddot{y}
\end{cases} + 2\Omega \begin{bmatrix}
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \\
    -\cos(\Omega t) & -\sin(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    \dot{x} \\
    \dot{y}
\end{cases} - \Omega^2 \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \\
    -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases} \\
&= [T_1] \begin{cases}
    \ddot{x} \\
    \ddot{y}
\end{cases} + 2\Omega [T_2] \begin{cases}
    \dot{x} \\
    \dot{y}
\end{cases} + \Omega^2 [T_1] \begin{cases}
    x \\
y
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
Analysis using rotating frame

Equation of Motion in Stationary Coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_0/L^2 & 0 \\
0 & I_0/L^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\ddot{y}
\end{bmatrix}
+
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & J\Omega_z/L^2 \\
-J\Omega_z/L^2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y}
\end{bmatrix}
+
\begin{bmatrix}
k_x & 0 \\
0 & k_y
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\omega_1 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + (\gamma \Omega_z/2)^2} - \gamma \Omega_z/2, \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + (\gamma \Omega_z/2)^2} + \gamma \Omega_z/2.
\]

Equation of Motion in Rotating Coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_0/L^2 & 0 \\
0 & I_0/L^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x}_r \\
\ddot{y}_r
\end{bmatrix}
+
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -2\Omega_z I_0/L^2 + J\Omega_z/L^2 \\
2\Omega_z I_0/L^2 - J\Omega_z/L^2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_r \\
\dot{y}_r
\end{bmatrix}
+
\begin{bmatrix}
-\Omega_z^2 I_0/L^2 + J\Omega_z^2/L^2 + k_x c^2 + k_y s^2 \\
\text{cs}(k_y - k_x) - \Omega_z^2 I_0/L^2 + J\Omega_z^2/L^2 + k_x c^2 + k_y s^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_r \\
y_r
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

\[
\omega_1 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + (\gamma \Omega_z/2)^2} - \gamma \Omega_z/2 + \Omega_z, \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + (\gamma \Omega_z/2)^2} + \gamma \Omega_z/2 - \Omega_z.
\]

Note: Eigenvectors remain unchanged

Theoretical Basis
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Analysis using rotating frame

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ F_{x_r} \} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \{ F_x \}, \\
\{ F_{y_r} \} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \{ F_y \}.
\end{align*}
\]

For Example:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ F_{x_r} \} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & \sin(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cos(\omega t) \\
\{ F_{y_r} \} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{F_0}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega - \Omega)t + \cos(\omega + \Omega)t \\ \sin(\omega - \Omega)t + \sin(\omega + \Omega)t \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Response harmonies not present in the excitation
Internal Damping in rotating and stationary frames

Equation of Motion in Rotating Coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{I_0}{L^2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{I_0}{L^2}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x}_r \\
\ddot{y}_r
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
c_l & -2\Omega_z I_0 / L^2 + J\Omega_z / L^2 \\
2\Omega_z I_0 / L^2 - J\Omega_z / L^2 & c_l
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_r \\
\dot{y}_r
\end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix}
-\Omega_z^2 I_0 / L^2 + J\Omega_z^2 / L^2 + k \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & -\Omega_z^2 I_0 / L^2 + J\Omega_z^2 / L^2 + k
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_r \\
y_r
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Equation of Motion in Stationary Coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{I_0}{L^2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{I_0}{L^2}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\ddot{y}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
c_l & J\Omega_z / L^2 \\
-J\Omega_z / L^2 & c_l
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
k_x & \Omega_z c_l \\
-\Omega_z c_l & k_y
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Internal/External Damping in 2DOF System

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{I_0}{L^2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{I_0}{L^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\ddot{y}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
c_E + c_I & \frac{J\Omega_z}{L^2} \\
-\frac{J\Omega_z}{L^2} & c_E + c_I
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
k_x & \Omega_z c_I \\
-\Omega_z c_I & k_y
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

At super critical speeds the real parts of eigen-values may become positive, i.e. unstable system.
Dynamic Analysis of General Rotor-Stator Systems

- The rotating machines and their modal testing is much more complex
  - Non-symmetric bearing support
  - Fixed/Rotating observation frame
  - Non-axisymmetric rotors
  - Internal/External damping

- These lead to:
  - Time-varying modal properties
  - Response harmonies not present in the excitation
  - Instabilities (negative modal damping)
Dynamic Analysis of General Rotor-Stator Systems

- Equation of motion of rotating systems are prone:
  - to lose the symmetry
  - to generate complex eigen-values/vectors from velocity/displacement related non-symmetry
  - to include time varying coefficients as appose to conventional Linear Time Invariant (LTI) systems
# Dynamic Analysis of General Rotor-Stator Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Type</th>
<th>Stationary Coord.</th>
<th>Rotating Coord.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-symm; S-symm</td>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>LTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-symm; S-nonsymmm</td>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>L(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-nonsymmm; S-symm</td>
<td>L(t)</td>
<td>LTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-nonsymmm; S-nonsymmm</td>
<td>L(t)</td>
<td>L(t)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LTI**: Linear Time Invariant  
**L(t)**: Linear Time Dependent
Linear Time Invariant Rotor-Stator Systems

\[
[M] \{\ddot{x}\} + ([C] + [G(\Omega)]) \{\dot{x}\} + ([K] + i[D] + [E(\Omega)]) \{x\} = \{f(t)\}
\]

\([M],[C],[K],[D] \Rightarrow Symm.\)

\([G(\Omega)],[E(\Omega)] \Rightarrow Skew - symm.\)

- Solution of equations will follow different routes depending upon the specific features.
LTI Rotor-Stator Systems
(Viscous Damping Only)

\[ [A]\{\ddot{u}\} + [B]\{u\} = \{0\}, \]

\[
[A] = \begin{bmatrix}
C + G(\Omega) & M \\
-M & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[B] = \begin{bmatrix}
K + E(\Omega) & 0 \\
0 & M
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- The system matrices are non-symmetric
- Complex eigenvals
- Two eigenvect sets:
  - RH; mode shapes
  - LH; normal excitation shapes

\[
\{u\} = \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
\dot{x}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
LTI Rotor-Stator Systems
(Viscous Damping Only)

- Symmetric Rotor/ Non-symmetric Support

Theoretical Basis

Forwards Whirl
Backwards Whirl
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Theoretical Basis

FRF of LTI Rotor-Stator Systems

\[
\begin{align*}
[\alpha(\omega)] &= [V_{RH}] \left[(\lambda_r - i\omega)\right]^{-1}[V_{LH}]^H \\
\end{align*}
\]
LTI Systems Eigen-Properties

- Skew-symmetry in damping Matrix

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
[K] = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
[C] = \Delta C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1 - \Delta C) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
# LTI Systems Eigen-Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta C$</th>
<th>$\lambda_2$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.75+1.85i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.68+1.88i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.05+0.08i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.52+1.94i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.08+0.28i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.37+1.99i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.03+0.49i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.23+2.04i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.90+0.63i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.07+2.08i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.76+0.71i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.11i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.69+0.73i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LTI Systems Eigen-Properties

- Skew-symmetry in stiffness Matrix

\[
[M] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, [C] = 0,
\]

\[
[K] = \Delta K\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1 - \Delta K)\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
\]
## LTI Systems Eigen-Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta K$</th>
<th>$\lambda_2$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.00i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.90i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.65i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.23i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.32 + 1.00i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.58i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.57 + 0.79i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.12i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.70 + 0.70i</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Complex Measured Modes

Overview of Modal Testing

IUST, Modal Testing Lab, Dr H Ahmadian
Complex Measured Modes

Predator Aircraft Ground Vibration Test
4 Shakers used at 8 Locations

Overview of Modal Testing
Display of Mode Complexity
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Extracting real modes from complex measured modes

  - The optimum real mode is the one with maximum correlation with the complex measured one:

\[
\max \frac{\phi_r^T \phi_c}{\|\phi_r\|^2 \|\phi_c\|^2}.
\]
Extracting real modes

- Normalizing the complex measured mode shape:

  \[ \|\phi_c\| = 1. \]

- The problem is rewritten as:

  \[ \max (\phi_r^T \phi_c \phi_c^* \phi_r), \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\phi_r\| = 1. \]
Extracting real modes

Write $\phi_c = \phi_R + i\phi_I$, then

$$\phi_c \phi_c^* = U + iV,$$

where

$$U = \phi_R \phi_R^T + \phi_I \phi_I^T,$$

$$V = \phi_I \phi_R^T - \phi_R \phi_I^T.$$

Symmetric

Skew-symmetric

Rank 2 matrices
Extracting real modes

- Since $V$ is skew symmetric,

$$
\phi_r^T V \phi_r = 0
$$

- Therefore the problem is equivalent to:

$$
\max (\phi_r^T U \phi_r), \quad \text{Subject to} \quad \|\phi_r\| = 1.
$$
Extracting real modes

\[ \max (\phi_r^T U \phi_r), \quad \text{Subject to } \|\phi_r\| = 1. \]

But \( U \) is an \( n \times n \) positive semi-definite matrix with rank 2. Therefore it has \((n - 2)\) zero eigenvalues and 2 positive ones \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \). The \( \phi_r \) which maximizes (2) is the eigenvector corresponding to the larger of the two positive eigenvalues.
Extracting real modes

We now show that the real vector \( \phi_r \) obtained as the eigenvector of \( U \) is precisely the same as the real part of the complex mode rotated so that its real part is maximized. To find this latter mode we must choose \( \theta \) so that:

\[
\max_{\theta} \| \text{Real}(\phi_c e^{i\theta}) \|^2.
\]
Extracting real modes

\[ \| \text{Real}(\phi e^{i\theta}) \|^2 = \|\phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_I \sin \theta\|^2, \]

\[ = \phi_R^T \phi_R \cos^2 \theta + \phi_I^T \phi_I \sin^2 \theta + 2\phi_R^T \phi_I \sin \theta \cos \theta, \]

\[ = \frac{\phi_R^T \phi_R + \phi_I^T \phi_I}{2} \]

\[ \{\frac{\phi_R^T \phi_R - \phi_I^T \phi_I}{2}\} \cos 2\theta + \phi_R^T \phi_I \sin 2\theta, \]

so that the function is maximized or minimized when

\[ \frac{\cos 2\theta}{\sin 2\theta} = \frac{\phi_R^T \phi_R - \phi_I^T \phi_I}{2\phi_R^T \phi_I} \]
Extracting real modes

To verify that the real part of the rotated mode, $\phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_I \sin \theta$, is an eigenvector of $U$, i.e.

$$(\phi_R \phi_R^T + \phi_I \phi_I^T)(\phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_I \sin \theta) = \lambda(\phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_I \sin \theta),$$

we note that this is true provided that:

$$(\phi_R^T \phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_R^T \phi_I \sin \theta) = \lambda \cos \theta,$$

$$(\phi_I^T \phi_I \cos \theta + \phi_I^T \phi_R \sin \theta) = \lambda \sin \theta.$$
Extracting real modes

\[ 2(\phi_R^T \phi_I) \cos 2\theta = (\phi_R^T \phi_R - \phi_I^T \phi_I) \sin 2\theta, \]

\[ (\phi_R^T \phi_I)(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) = \]

\[ (\phi_R^T \phi_R - \phi_I^T \phi_I) \sin \theta \cos \theta, \]

\[ (\phi_R^T \phi_R \cos \theta + \phi_R^T \phi_I \sin \theta) \sin \theta = \]

\[ (\phi_R^T \phi_I \cos \theta + \phi_I^T \phi_I \sin \theta) \cos \theta. \]

This last equation implies that there is a constant \( \lambda \) satisfying equations (6), (7).
Follow-ups:
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Theoretical Basis

- Non-sinusoidal Vibration and FRF Properties:
  - Periodic Vibration
  - Transient Vibration
  - Random Vibration
    - Violation of Dirichlet’s conditions
    - Autocorrelation and PSD functions
    - H1 and H2

- Incomplete Response Models
Non-sinusoidal Vibration and FRF Properties

- With the FRF data, response of a MDOF system to a set of harmonic loads:

\[
\{X\}e^{i\omega t} = \left[\alpha(\omega)\right]\{F\}e^{i\omega t}
\]

- Different amplitudes and phases
- The same frequency

- We shall now turn our attention to a range of other excitation/response situations.
Excitation is not simply sinusoidal but retain periodicity.

The easiest way of computing the response is by means of Fourier Series,

\[ f_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{nk} e^{i\omega_n t} \quad \omega_n = \frac{2\pi}{T} \]

\[ x_j(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(\omega_n) F_{nk} e^{i\omega_n t} \]
To derive FRF from periodic vibration signals:

- Determine the Fourier Series components of the input force and the relevant response.
- Both series contain components at the same set of discrete frequencies.
- The FRF can be defined at the same set of frequency points by computing the ratio of response to input components.
Transient Vibration

- Analysis via Fourier Transform

\[ F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \]

\[ X(\omega) = H(\omega)F(\omega) \]

\[ x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(\omega)F(\omega)e^{i\omega t} d\omega \]
Transient Vibration

- Response via time domain (superposition)

\[ x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t - \tau)f(\tau)d\tau \]

Let \( f(t) = \delta(0) \Rightarrow F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \)

Then \( x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(\omega)e^{i\omega t}d\omega = h(t) \)
Transient Vibration

- To derive FRF from transient vibration signals:
  - Calculation of the Fourier Transforms of both excitation and response signals
  - Computing the ratio of both signals at the same frequency
- In practice it is common to compute a DFT of the signals.
Random Vibration

- Neither excitation nor response signal can be subject to a valid Fourier Transform:
  - Violation of Dirichlet Conditions
    - Finite number of isolated min and max
    - Finite number of points of finite discontinuity
  - Here we assume the random signals to be ergodic
Random Vibration

Time Signal

\[ f(t) \]

Autocorrelation Function

\[ R_{ff}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)f(t+\tau)dt \]

Power Spectral Density

\[ S_{ff}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R_{ff}(\tau)e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau \]
Random Vibration

Time Signal

Autocorrelation

Power Spectral Density

Theoretical Basis
Random Vibration

Sinusoidal Signal

Autocorrelation

Power Spectral Density

Theoretical Basis
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Random Vibration

Random Signal

Autocorrelation

Power Spectral Density
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Random Vibration

Noisy Signal

Autocorrelation

Theoretical Basis
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Random Vibration

- The autocorrelation function is real and even:

\[ R_{ff}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)f(t+\tau)dt \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(u-\tau)f(u)du = R_{ff}(-\tau) \]

\[ u = t + \tau \]

- The Auto/Power Spectral Density function is real and even.
Random Vibration

- Cross Correlation / Spectral Densities

\[ R_{xf}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t) f(t + \tau) dt \quad S_{xf}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R_{xf}(\tau) e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau \]

- Cross Correlation functions are real but not always even.
- Cross Spectral Densities are complex functions.
Random Vibration

**Time Domain**

\[
R_{ff}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) f(t + \tau) dt \Rightarrow S_{ff}(\omega) = F^*(\omega)F(\omega)
\]

\[
R_{xf}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t) f(t + \tau) dt \Rightarrow S_{xf}(\omega) = X^*(\omega)F(\omega)
\]

\[
R_{xx}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t)x(t + \tau) dt \Rightarrow S_{xx}(\omega) = X^*(\omega)X(\omega)
\]

**Frequency Domain**
Random Vibration

To derive FRF from random vibration signals:

\[ H(\omega) = \frac{X(\omega)}{F(\omega)} \]

\[ H_1(\omega) = \frac{X^*(\omega)X(\omega)}{X^*(\omega)F(\omega)} = \frac{S_{xx}(\omega)}{S_{xf}(\omega)} \]

\[ H_2(\omega) = \frac{F^*(\omega)X(\omega)}{F^*(\omega)F(\omega)} = \frac{S_{fx}(\omega)}{S_{ff}(\omega)} \]
Complete/ Incomplete Models

- It is not possible to measure the response at all DOF or all modes of structure (N by N).

- Different incomplete models:
  - Reduced size (from N to n) by deleting some DOFs.
  - Number of modes are a reduced as well (from N to m, usually m<n).
\[ \alpha_{jk}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{m<N} \frac{r A_{jk}}{\omega_r^2 - \omega^2 + i \eta_r \omega_r^2} \]
\[ \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \omega_r^2 \\ \Phi \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \]
Incomplete Response Models
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Theoretical Basis

- Sensitivity of Models
  - Modal Sensitivity
    - SDOF eigen sensitivity
    - MDOF system natural frequency sensitivity
    - MDOF system mode shape sensitivity
  - FRF Sensitivity
    - SDOF FRF sensitivity
    - MDOF FRF sensitivity
Sensitivity of Models

- The sensitivity analysis are required:
  - to help locate errors in models in updating
  - to guide design optimization procedures
  - they are used in the course of curve fitting

- A short summary on deducing sensitivities from experimental and analytical models is given.
Modal Sensitivities (SDOF)

\[ \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial m} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^3}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0}{m}, \]

\[ \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial k} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{mk}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0}{k}. \]
Modal Sensitivities (MDOF)

\[
\left([K] - \omega_r^2 [M]\right)\{\phi_r\} = \{0\},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left([K] - \omega_r^2 [M]\right)\{\phi_r\} = \{0\},
\]

\[
\left([K] - \omega_r^2 [M]\right)\frac{\partial\{\phi_r\}}{\partial p} + \left(\frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \omega_r^2}{\partial p} [M] - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p}\right)\{\phi_r\} = \{0\},
\]
Eigenvalue Sensitivity (MDOF)

Multiply by \( \{\phi_r\}^T \)

\[
\{\phi_r\}^T \left( [K] - \omega_r^2 [M] \right) \frac{\partial \{\phi_r\}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} \phi_r - \frac{\partial \omega_r^2}{\partial p} [M] \phi_r - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \phi_r = \{0\}, \]

results \( \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \omega_r^2}{\partial p} = \frac{\{\phi_r\}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{\phi_r\}}{\{\phi_r\}^T [M] \{\phi_r\}} \)
Eigenvector Sensitivity
(MDOF)

Starting from:

\[
\left( [K] - \omega_r^2 [M] \right) \frac{\partial \{ \phi_r \}}{\partial p} + \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{ \phi_r \} = \{ 0 \},
\]

and taking

\[
\frac{\partial \{ \phi_r \}}{\partial p} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j \{ \phi_j \}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \left( [K] - \omega_r^2 [M] \right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{rj} \{ \phi_j \} + \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{ \phi_r \} = \{ 0 \}
\]
Eigenvector Sensitivity
(MDOF)

\[
\left( [K] - \omega_r^2 [M] \right) \sum_{j=1, j \neq r}^N \gamma_{rj} \{ \phi_j \} + \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{ \phi_r \} = \{ 0 \}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \{ \phi_s \}^T \left( [K] - \omega_r^2 [M] \right) \sum_{j=1, j \neq r}^N \gamma_{rj} \{ \phi_j \} + \{ \phi_s \}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{ \phi_r \} = \{ 0 \}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow (\omega_s^2 - \omega_r^2) \gamma_{rs} + \{ \phi_s \}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \{ \phi_r \} = \{ 0 \}
\]
Eigenvector Sensitivity (MDOF)

\[ \Rightarrow \left( \omega_s^2 - \omega_r^2 \right) \gamma_{rs} + \left\{ \phi_s \right\}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \left\{ \phi_r \right\} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \gamma_{rs} = \frac{\left\{ \phi_s \right\}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \left\{ \phi_r \right\}}{\left( \omega_r^2 - \omega_s^2 \right)} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \left\{ \phi_r \right\}}{\partial p} = \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\left\{ \phi_s \right\}^T \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} - \omega_r^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) \left\{ \phi_r \right\}}{\left( \omega_r^2 - \omega_s^2 \right)} \right) \left\{ \phi_s \right\} \]
Updating, Redesign, Reanalysis

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \omega_1^2 \\
\Delta \omega_2^2 \\
\vdots \\
\{\Delta \phi_1\} \\
\{\Delta \phi_2\} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
&= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial \omega_1^2}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial \omega_1^2}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial \omega_1^2}{\partial p_3} & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial \omega_2^2}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial \omega_2^2}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial \omega_2^2}{\partial p_3} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_1\}}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_1\}}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_1\}}{\partial p_3} & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_2\}}{\partial p_1} & \frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_2\}}{\partial p_2} & \frac{\partial \{\Delta \phi_2\}}{\partial p_3} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta p_1 \\
\Delta p_2 \\
\Delta p_3 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
Updating, Redesign, Reanalysis

- The change in parameters must be very small for accurate analysis.
- When the change in parameters is not small:
  - Higher order sensitivity analysis
  - Iterative linear sensitivity analysis
FRF Sensitivities (SDOF)

\[ \alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{k + i \omega c - \omega^2 m} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \alpha(\omega)}{\partial k} = \frac{-1}{(k + i \omega c - \omega^2 m)^2} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \alpha(\omega)}{\partial c} = \frac{-i \omega}{(k + i \omega c - \omega^2 m)^2} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \alpha(\omega)}{\partial m} = \frac{\omega^2}{(k + i \omega c - \omega^2 m)^2} \]
FRF Sensitivities (MDOF)

\[ [Z(\omega)] = [K] + i\omega[C] - \omega^2[M], \]

\[ \Rightarrow ([A] + [B])^{-1} = [A]^{-1} - ([A] + [B])^{-1} [B][A]^{-1} \]

take \([A] \Rightarrow [Z(\omega)]_A, \quad [A + B] \Rightarrow [Z(\omega)]_x \)

then \( \Rightarrow [Z(\omega)]_x^{-1} = [Z(\omega)]_A^{-1} - [Z(\omega)]_x^{-1} ([Z(\omega)]_x - [Z(\omega)]_A)^{-1} [Z(\omega)]_A^{-1} \)

\[ [\alpha(\omega)]_x - [\alpha(\omega)]_A = -[\alpha(\omega)]_x [\Delta Z(\omega)][\alpha(\omega)]_A \]
FRF Sensitivities (MDOF)

\[
[\alpha(\omega)]_x - [\alpha(\omega)]_A = -[\alpha(\omega)]_x [\Delta Z(\omega)][\alpha(\omega)]_A,
\]

\[
\{\alpha_x(\omega) - \alpha_A(\omega)\}_j^T = \{\alpha_x(\omega)\}_j^T [\Delta Z(\omega)][\alpha(\omega)]_A
\]
FRF Sensitivities (MDOF)

Starting with the analytical receptance matrix \([\mathbf{\alpha}(\omega)]_a\), denoted as \([\mathbf{\alpha}_a]_a\)

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a]_a = [\mathbf{\alpha}_a].
\] (1)

Adding and subtracting the experimental receptance matrix \([\mathbf{\alpha}_x]\) to the right hand side of (1) gives:

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_a] + [\mathbf{\alpha}_a] - [\mathbf{\alpha}_x].
\] (2)

Multiplying \([\mathbf{\alpha}_a]\) of the right hand side by \([I] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_x]^{-1}[\mathbf{\alpha}_x]\)

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_x] + [\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\mathbf{\alpha}_x]^{-1}[\mathbf{\alpha}_x] - [\mathbf{\alpha}_x]
\] (3)

and factorising by \([\mathbf{\alpha}_x]\) yields:

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_x] + ([\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\mathbf{\alpha}_x]^{-1} - [I])[\mathbf{\alpha}_x].
\] (4)

Replacing \([I]\) by \([\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\mathbf{\alpha}_a]^{-1}\)

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_x] + ([\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\mathbf{\alpha}_x]^{-1} - [\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\mathbf{\alpha}_a]^{-1})[\mathbf{\alpha}_x]
\] (5)

and factorising by \([\mathbf{\alpha}_a]\) gives:

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_x] + [\mathbf{\alpha}_a]([\mathbf{\alpha}_x]^{-1} - [\mathbf{\alpha}_a]^{-1})[\mathbf{\alpha}_x].
\] (6)

Or, in a more familiar form,

\[
[\mathbf{\alpha}_a] - [\mathbf{\alpha}_x] = [\mathbf{\alpha}_a][\Delta Z][\mathbf{\alpha}_x]
\] (7)

where

\[
[\Delta Z] = [Z_x] - [Z_a] = [\Delta K] - \omega^2[\Delta M].
\] (8)
FRF Sensitivities (MDOF)

\[
\frac{\partial [\alpha(\omega)]}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \left([Z(\omega)]^{-1}\right)}{\partial p} = -[Z(\omega)]^{-1} \frac{\partial [Z(\omega)]}{\partial p} [Z(\omega)]^{-1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial [\alpha(\omega)]}{\partial p} = -[\alpha(\omega)] \frac{\partial [Z(\omega)]}{\partial p} [\alpha(\omega)]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial [\alpha(\omega)]}{\partial p} = -[\alpha(\omega)] \left( \frac{\partial [K]}{\partial p} + i \omega \frac{\partial [C]}{\partial p} - \omega^2 \frac{\partial [M]}{\partial p} \right) [\alpha(\omega)]
\]