

Sharif University of Technology

Scientia Iranica Transactions C: Chemistry and Chemical Engineering www.sciencedirect.com



Nano-ordered B-MCM-41: An efficient and recoverable solid acid catalyst for three-component Strecker reaction of carbonyl compounds, amines and TMSCN

M.G. Dekamin^{*}, Z. Mokhtari, Z. Karimi

Pharmaceutical and Biologically-Active Compounds Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, P.O. Box 16846-13114, Iran

Received 4 March 2011; revised 30 May 2011; accepted 27 August 2011

KEYWORDS

Nano-ordered borosilicate (B-MCM-41); Multi-component reactions; Strecker reaction; α -amino nitriles; Carbonyl compounds; TMSCN. **Abstract** The three-component Strecker reaction of a wide range of aldehydes or ketones and different amines with trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) was efficiently catalyzed by the nano-ordered mesoporous borosilicate (B-MCM-41) at room temperature to afford the corresponding α -amino nitriles in high to quantitative yields in short reaction time. B-MCM-41 showed higher catalytic activity than Fe(III)-modified MCM-41 (Fe-MCM-41). Furthermore, the use of EtOH increased the rate of reaction remarkably compared to CH₂Cl₂ or CH₃CN. The B-MCM-41 catalyst can be recovered at least 4 times without significant loss of its catalytic activity.

© 2012 Sharif University of Technology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Multi-component Condensation Reactions (MCRs) have recently received great interest, due to the formation of multibonds in a one pot reaction, high atom efficiency, mild and simplified conditions and environmentally benign friendliness [1]. The Strecker reaction, which was reported initially in 1850, is the oldest known MCR and the most straightforward route for the synthesis of α -amino acids on both lab and technical scales. This reaction comprises a condensation of an aldehyde, ammonia and cyanide source, followed by subsequent hydrolysis of the resulting α -amino nitrile. Since the first synthesis of cyanohydrins by Winkler in 1832 and α -amino nitriles by Strecker in 1850, α -functionalized nitriles have attracted considerable attention of organic chemists, due to the rich

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 7724 0284; fax: +98 21 7322 7703. *E-mail address*: mdekamin@iust.ac.ir (M.G. Dekamin).

1026-3098 © 2012 Sharif University of Technology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Peer review under responsibility of Sharif University of Technology.

doi:10.1016/j.scient.2011.11.005



Production and hosting by Elsevier

chemistry of the cyano group (CN) [2]. In particular, α -amino nitriles are very important intermediates in the preparation of α -amino acids, 1,2-diamines and a variety of nitrogencontaining heterocycles, such as imidazoles or thiadiazoles [3]. The classical Strecker reaction employed KCN as a cyanide source in aqueous solution, which does have several drawbacks, such as the high toxicity of KCN and production of an alkaline reaction mixture [2]. The latter can severely affect the sensitive substrates and thus limit the scope of this valuable reaction. Due to these limitations, a variety of cyanide sources and methods have been investigated; some of these are Bu₃SnCN, Et₂AlCN, (Et)₂P(O)CN, CH₃(OH)(CN)CH₃, K₂[Fe(CN)₆] [4] and (CH₃)₃SiCN(TMSCN)[5]. Among these non-alkali metal cyanide variants, TMSCN has emerged as a very effective, relatively safe and easy-to-handle cyanide source for a nucleophilic addition to imines and carbonyl double bonds [2,5b-g].

Protocols based on TMSCN often require Bronsted or Lewis acid catalytic systems. Therefore, many homogeneous catalysts, such as BiCl₃, NiCl₂, RuCl₃, Rhl₃, CeCl₃, InI₃, ThCl₃.4H₂O, GdCl₃.6H₂O, La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O, Fe(Cp)₂PF₆, Cu(OTf)₂, Ga(OTf)₃, Pr(OTf)₃ and I₂, have been developed in recent years [6]. To overcome problems associated with homogeneous catalysts, some simple heterogeneous catalysts, including Zr(HSO₄)₄ [7a], guanidine HCI [7b] and LiClO₄ [7c], have also been introduced. However, supported heterogeneous catalysts demonstrate several intrinsic advantages over their homogeneous counterparts: additional reactants activation by support, high surface area, ease of product separation and catalyst reuse, lower